

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,297	10/759,297 01/20/2004		Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-7251	7182
31780	7590	08/24/2006		EXAM	INER
ERIC RO	BINSON			TRAN, T	HANH Y
PMB 955 21010 SO	JTHBANK	ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
РОТОМА	C FALLS, \	VA 20165		2822	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/759,297	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
1	Thanh Y. Tran	2822
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin Jearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	1av 2006.	
,	s action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-11 and 17-32</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-11 and 17-32</u> are subject to respect to the subject to the subject to respect to the subject to t	wn from consideration.	nent.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	en e
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea	•	ed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
•	<u> </u>	
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060819

in general equation with the continuous formation of the first terms of the first continuous formations are the continuous formations and the continuous formations are the continuous formation for the continuous for the continuous formation for the continuous for the continuous formation for the continuou

Art Unit: 2822

1)

#1. P

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: Regarding a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, comprising: flattening a surface of the single crystal silicon film (suggested claims 1, 3-4, and 7-11).

Species II: Regarding a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, comprising: a second substrate is a quartz substrate (suggested claims 17-24).

Species III: Regarding a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, comprising: a second substrate is a silicon substrate (suggested claims 25-32).

2. The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive limitations.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/759,297

Art Unit: 2822

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2110. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-6:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

and a surregion in Secretarian and manifest the constitutions on workant and our

Application/Control Number: 10/759,297

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TYT

Zandra V. Smith

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

21 Aug 2006